



Public Service Commission of Wisconsin

Burneatta Bridge, Chairperson
Ave M. Bie, Commissioner
Robert M. Garvin, Commissioner

610 North Whitney Way
P.O. Box 7854
Madison, WI 53707-7854

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Commission Secretary
445 12th Street, SW, CY-B402
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Application by SBC Communications, Inc., for Authorization to Provide In-Region,
InterLATA Services in the States of Illinois, Indiana, Ohio and Wisconsin,
WC Docket No. 03-167

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The Public Service Commission of Wisconsin (PSCW), pursuant to notice issued by the Federal Communication Commission (FCC) on July 17, 2003, in the above-mentioned docket, hereby submits its comments in support of the application of Wisconsin Bell, Inc., d/b/a SBC Wisconsin, for authorization to provide in-region, interLATA service in the state of Wisconsin pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 271.

The PSCW's support is framed in its prior determinations and orders submitted by SBC Wisconsin as appendices with its application. Those determinations and orders constitute the Commission's consultative report to the FCC for purposes of § 271(d)(2)(B), and are comprised of the Phase I and Phase II Determinations (including dockets incorporated by reference) in docket 6720-TI-170, issued July 1 and July 7, 2003, respectively. In the Phase I Determination¹ of this docket, the PSCW reviewed SBC Wisconsin's compliance with the § 271 Track A and checklist requirements, and reserved the issues related to SBC Wisconsin's provisioning of Operational Support Systems (OSS), the pricing of Unbundled Network Elements (UNEs), and certain issues relating to the public interest for Phase II. The Phase II Determination² relies on, in large-part, the Commission's separate investigations into SBC Wisconsin's OSS in docket 6720-TI-160 and UNE prices in docket 6720-TI-161.³ For a brief description of related dockets supporting both Determinations, please refer to Appendix B of the Phase II Determination in docket 6720-TI-170.

The "Proceedings" section in the Phase I and II Determinations sets forth, in detail, the process the Commission used to give interested parties an opportunity to participate in these proceedings. Written comments and collaborative meetings were the primary means by which the Commission sought input into its decision-making process. The OSS and UNE pricing dockets also used written comments and collaborative meetings, as well as evidentiary hearings.

¹ Application, Appendix C, Volume 12, Tab 66.

² Application, Appendix C, Volume 12, Tab 67.

³ SBC Wisconsin and CLECs have filed motions for reconsideration of the PSCW's UNE Compliance Order (Application Appendix D, Volume 18, Tab 65).

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Page 2

If the FCC has questions regarding the Determinations that support SBC Wisconsin's § 271 application, it may contact Nicholas Linden, Assistant Administrator, Telecommunications Division, at (608) 266-8950.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin, August 6, 2003

By the Commission:

Lynda L. Dorr
Lynda L. Dorr
Secretary to the Commission

NAL:cdg:G:\Letter Orders\Pending\PSCW Comments 080603.doc

cc: via e-mail

Layla Seirafi-Najar
US Department of Justice
layla.seirafi-najar@usdoj.gov

Jon Feipel
Illinois Commerce Commission
jfeipel@icc.state.il.us

Hisham Choueiki
Ohio Public Utility Commission
hisham.choueiki@puc.state.oh.us

Karl Henry
Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission
khenry@urc.state.in.us

Janice Myles
FCC
jmyles@fcc.gov
parluk@fcc.gov

MS MARLENE H DORTCH
445 12TH STREET SW CY-B402
WASHINGTON DC 20554